arXiv:0911.2978v4 [math.OA] 14 Oct 2010

CUNTZ-KRIEGER TYPE UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR TOPOLOGICAL HIGHER-RANK GRAPH C^*-ALGEBRAS

SHINJI YAMASHITA

Abstract. We study Sims-Yeend's product system C^*-algebras and topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras by Yeend. We give a relation between Katsura's Cuntz-Pimsner covariance and Sims-Yeend's one by a direct approach and an explicit form of the core of product system C^*-algebras. Finally, we prove Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem for topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras under a certain aperiodic condition.

1. Introduction

In 1980, Cuntz and Krieger introduced a class of C^*-algebras associated with Markov shifts. These C^*-algebras of this class are generated by a family of non-zero partial isometries with so-called Cuntz-Krieger relations. They showed if a 0-1 matrix which gives a Markov shift satisfies condition (I), then a C^*-algebra generated by a family of any non-zero isometries with the Cuntz-Krieger relation which comes from this Markov shift is isomorphic to the universal one. It is now called *Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem* and this theorem plays a key role in analyzing structure of Cuntz-Krieger algebras. In the proof of this theorem, we need a deep understanding of underlying dynamical systems and covariant relations. Our main purpose in this paper is to show a kind of this theorem for topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras.

Since Cuntz and Krieger introduced C^*-algebras mentioned above, many authors considered various constructions motivated by Cuntz-Krieger algebras. In [13], Pimsner provided a class of C^*-algebras arising from full Hilbert C^*-bimodules with injective left actions, and these C^*-algebras are now called Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. After Pimsner's work, several authors have challenged to remove some technical assumptions in the construction of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and tried to unify some constructions of C^*-algebras. Along this line, Katsura introduced the notions of topological graphs and topological graph C^*-algebras generalizing both graph C^*-algebras and homeomorphism C^*-algebras [6]. In his subsequent papers, he studied relations between dynamical systems of topological graphs and properties of topological graph C^*-algebras. Furthermore he examined that a class of topological graph C^*-algebras includes many C^*-algebras which were previously given by various ways. In particular, in [6], Katsura showed Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem under an aperiodic condition which is called topological freeness. At the same time, for a general Hilbert A-bimodule (it is also called a C^*-correspondence), Katsura has proposed the appropriate analogue of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra [7]. For a full Hilbert A-bimodule with an injective left action, Katsura's C^*-algebra coincides with

---

Date: October 15, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L55.

Key words and phrases. C^*-algebra; Product system; Topological higher-rank graph; Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem.

Pimsner's one. Katsura also investigated fundamental properties [7] and ideal structures [8].

On the other hand, graph C^*-algebras were extended to higher dimension. Higher-rank graphs and higher-rank graph C^*-algebras were defined by Kumjian and Pask [9]. Raeburn, Sims and Yeend removed some technical assumptions of the higher-rank graphs and they called finitely aligned higher-rank graphs. Moreover they defined finitely aligned higher-rank graph C^*-algebras and showed Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem for finitely aligned higher-rank graph C^*-algebras [15], [16]. Using this theorem, Sims analyzed structure of gauge-invariant ideals of finitely aligned higher-rank graph C^*-algebras [17]. Subsequently, as a unification of the topological graphs and the finitely aligned higher-rank graphs, Yeend introduced the notion of topological higher-rank graphs and using the groupoid construction [12], he defined topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras [20], [21].

As soon as Cuntz-Pimsner algebras were introduced, Fowler considered C^*-algebras associated with product systems of Hilbert C^*-bimodules over quasi-lattice ordered groups [3]. Unfortunately the Cuntz-Pimsner covariance of Fowler's C^*-algebras does not match with the corresponding covariances of Katsura's C^*-correspondences and finitely aligned higher-rank graph C^*-algebras. However, Sims-Yeend recently proposed a new Cuntz-Pimsner covariance which succeeded to overcome this problem [18]. In [2], the authors showed topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras are able to be defined by using the construction of the product system C^*-algebras or Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner algebras in the sense of Sims-Yeend and a C^*-algebra by this construction is isomorphic to Yeend's one.

As a remarkable result, Sims-Yeend showed the equivalence of Pimsner-Katsura's covariance and that of Sims-Yeend's one by using gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem. However there is a huge difference apparently between the notions of two covariances. In this paper, we pay attention to Pimsner's work in [13] and point out that there is a relation with Sims-Yeend's one. Then we establish a connection of two covariances by an analog with Pimsner's work. Moreover our treatment has an advantage that we can provide a model of the core of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner algebras. This is also applied to prove Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem for topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, first we recall the definitions of Katsura's Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and Sims-Yeend's product system C^*-algebras for the lattice ordered group $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. We also introduce a motivated theorem of this paper in Theorem 2.1 due to Pimsner. In Section 3, we shall show the equivalence of Katsura's Cuntz-Pimsner covariance defined in [7, Definition 3.4] and Sims-Yeend's one over $\mathbb{N}$ and an explicit form of the core of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras as a generalization of a part of Theorem 2.1. In Section 4, we describe the core of product system C^*-algebras over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. This is a higher-rank version of a part of Theorem 2.1. In Section 5, we recall the definition of topological higher rank graphs and associated C^*-algebras, and we give the notion of aperiodic condition in Definition 5.10. Finally we show Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem under this aperiodic condition.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

In this section, we recall Katsura's Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and Sims-Yeend's product system C^*-algebras.

2.1. **Lattice ordered group $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$.** We denote the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and the integers by $\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}$ the group consisting of complex numbers whose absolute values are 1. Given a (semi)group P with identity and $1 \leq k \leq \infty$, we denote the direct sum of P by $P^{\oplus k} = \oplus_{i=1}^{k} P$, the direct product of P by $P^k = \Pi_{i=1}^{k} P$, which have natural (semi)group structure. We consider $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ as an additive semigroup with identity 0. For $1 \leq k \leq \infty$, $e_1, \cdots, e_k$ are standard generators of $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. We write the i-th coordinate of $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ by $m_{(i)}$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, we say $m \leq n$ if $n_{(i)} - m_{(i)}$ is a non-negative number for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Otherwise we write $m \not\leq n$. We say $m < n$ if $m \leq n$ and $m \neq n$. Then $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ is a lattice ordered group with a least upper bound $m \vee n$ by $(m \vee n)_{(i)} = \max\{m_{(i)}, n_{(i)}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, define $m \wedge n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ by $(m \wedge n)_{(i)} = \min\{m_{(i)}, n_{(i)}\}$.

2.2. **Hilbert C^*-bimodules.** Next we shall recall the notion of Hilbert A-bimodules. Let A be a C^*-algebra and Y be a right-Hilbert A-module with a right A-action $Y \times A \ni (\xi, a) \longmapsto \xi a \in Y$ and an A-valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Y$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ the C^*-algebra of the adjointable operators on Y. Given $\xi, \eta \in Y$, the rank-one operator $\theta_{\xi,\eta} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ is defined by $\theta_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_Y$ for $\zeta \in Y$. The closure of the linear span of rank-one operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(Y)$. We say that Y is a *Hilbert A-bimodule* if Y is a right-Hilbert A-module with a homomorphism $\phi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y)$. Then we can define a left A-action on Y by $a\xi = \phi(a)\xi$ for $a \in A$ and $\xi \in Y$. We can see A itself is a Hilbert A-bimodule with the multiplication from both sides. If we emphasize this bimodule structure, we denote it by ${}_AA_A$. Let $L : A \ni a \longmapsto L_a \in \mathcal{K}({}_AA_A)$ be a left multiplication. Remark that $\mathcal{L}({}_AA_A)$ is the multiplier C^*-algebra of A and $\mathcal{K}({}_AA_A) = A$. For $S \in \mathcal{K}({}_AA_A)$, there is a unique $a \in A$ so that $S = L_a$.

Let A, B are C^*-algebras. Let Y be a right-Hilbert A-module and Y' be a right-Hilbert B-module. Let $\phi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y')$ be a left action of Y'. A balanced tensor product $Y \otimes_\phi Y'$ is a vector space spanned by $\xi \otimes \eta$ subject to $\xi a \otimes \eta = \xi \otimes \phi(a)\eta$ for $a \in A$, $\xi \in Y$, $\eta \in Y'$. $Y \otimes_\phi Y'$ is also a right-Hilbert B-module: a right B-action $(\xi \otimes \eta)b = \xi \otimes (\eta b)$ and a B-valued inner product $\langle \xi_1 \otimes \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle_{Y \otimes_\phi Y'} = \langle \eta_1, \phi(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_{Y'})\eta_2 \rangle_Y$. Similarly, if Y is a Hilbert A-bimodule, then $Y \otimes_\phi Y'$ has a left A-action. In particular, Y and Y' are Hilbert A-bimodules, then $Y \otimes_\phi Y'$ is a Hilbert A-bimodule. For $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$, we shall define $S \otimes_\phi 1_{Y'} \in \mathcal{L}(Y \otimes_\phi Y')$ so that $(S \otimes_\phi 1_{Y'})(\xi \otimes \eta) = S\xi \otimes \eta$. If we do not need the form of a left action ϕ, then we write $Y \otimes_A Y'$, $S \otimes_A 1$ for $Y \otimes_\phi Y'$, $S \otimes_\phi 1$.

2.3. **Cuntz-Pimsner covariances and Cuntz-Pimsner algebras.** We recall the definition of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. We follow Katsura's definition. For a Hilbert A-bimodule X and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with a left action $\phi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, we define a right-Hilbert A-module $X^{\otimes n}$ by $X^{\otimes 0} = A$, $X^{\otimes 1} = X$, and $X^{\otimes (n+1)} = X \otimes_A X^{\otimes n}$ for $n \geq 1$. We denote the A-valued inner product of $X^{\otimes n}$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A^n$. Then $X^{\otimes n}$ is a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action $\phi_n : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^{\otimes n})$ where $\phi_0(a) = L_a$, $\phi_1(a) = \phi(a)$ and $\phi_{n+1}(a) = \phi(a) \otimes 1_{X^{\otimes n}}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $a \in A$. Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action ϕ and B be a C^*-algebra. A pair consisting of a *-homomorphism $\pi : A \longrightarrow B$ and a linear map $t : X \longrightarrow B$ is a *representation* of X to B if it satisfies $t(\xi)^* t(\eta) = \pi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$ for $\xi, \eta \in X$ and $\pi(a)t(\xi) = t(\phi(a)\xi)$ for $a \in A, \eta \in X$. We denote by $C^*(\pi, t)$ the C^*-algebra generated by the image of π and t in B. For a representation (π, t), we set $t_0 = \pi$, $t_1 = t$, and for $n = 2, 3, \cdots$, we define a linear map $t_n : X^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow B$ by $t_n(\xi \otimes \eta) = t(\xi)t_{n-1}(\eta)$ for

$\xi \in X$, $\eta \in X^{\otimes n}$. Then (π, t_n) is a representation of $X^{\otimes n}$ and

$$C^*(\pi,t) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{t_n(\xi)t_m(\eta)^* \mid \xi \in X^{\otimes n},\ \eta \in X^{\otimes m},\ n,m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For a representation (π, t) of a Hilbert A-bimodule X, we define a *-homomorphism $t^{(n)} : \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow C^*(\pi,t)$ by $t^{(n)}(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) = t_n(\xi)t_n(\eta)^*$. Define an ideal J_X of A by $J_X = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X)) \cap (\ker\phi)^{\perp} = \{a \in A | \phi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(X) \text{ and } ab = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \ker\phi\}$. A representation (π,t) is said to be *Cuntz-Pimsner covariant* if we have $\pi(a) = t^{(1)}(\phi(a))$ for $a \in J_X$. We denote the universal Cuntz-Pimsner covariant representation by (π_X, t_X). We define the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_X$ by $\mathcal{O}_X = C^*(\pi_X, t_X)$.

For a representation (π,t), define $C^*(\pi,t)^{\mathrm{core}} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{t_n(\xi)t_n(\eta)^* \mid n \in \mathbb{N},\ \xi,\eta \in X^{\otimes n}\}$. The C^*-subalgebra $C^*(\pi,t)^{\mathrm{core}}$ of $C^*(\pi,t)$ is called the *core* of $C^*(\pi,t)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a C^*-subalgebra C'_n of $\mathcal{L}(X^{\otimes n})$ by $C'_n = \operatorname{span}\{S_p \otimes_A 1_{n-p} \mid S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p),\ 0 \le p \le n\}$ where $1_q = 1_{X^{\otimes q}}$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \le m$, we define a map $j'_{m,n} : \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^{\otimes m})$ by $j'_{m,n}(x) = x \otimes_A 1_{m-n}$. If we assume ϕ is injective, so is $j'_{m,n}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a correspondence $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)} : C'_n \longrightarrow C^*(\pi,t)^{\mathrm{core}}$ by $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)}(\sum_{p\le n} S_p \otimes_A 1_{n-p}) = \sum_{p \le n} t^{(p)}(S_p)$. We do not know whether $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)}$ is well-defined or not *a priori*. But in [13, Proposition 3.11], Pimsner showed that $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)}$ is well-defined for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if (π,t) is a Cuntz-Pimsner covariant representation (see also [4, Corollary 4.8]).

Theorem 2.1 (Pimsner)**.** *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with an injective left action ϕ and (π,t) be a representation. Then (π,t) is a Cuntz-Pimsner covariant representation if and only if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)}$ is a well-defined *-homomorphism. Moreover if π is injective, then so is $\kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)}$ and*

$$\kappa'^{(\pi,t)} := \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \kappa_n'^{(\pi,t)} : \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} C'_n \longrightarrow C^*(\pi,t)^{\mathrm{core}}$$

is isometry.

The aim of Section 3 and Section 4 in this paper is to show that Sims-Yeend's definition of covariances of a product system C^*-algebra can be seen a variant of the well-definedness of $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ and to establish an extension of Theorem 2.1.

2.4. **Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner covariances and Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner C^*-algebras.** In this paper we treat product system C^*-algebras over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, so we consult [18] for product system C^*-algebras over general quasi-lattice ordered groups. Let A be a C^*-algebra. A *product system* X over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ of Hilbert A-bimodules is a semigroup with an operation $X \times X \ni (\xi,\eta) \longmapsto \xi\eta \in X$ fibred by $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ so that each fibre X_n is a Hilbert A-bimodule with an A-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_A^n$ and a left action ϕ_n such that (1) the identity fibre X_0 is equal to the bimodule ${}_AA_A$; (2) for each $m,n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \setminus \{0\}$, the bilinear map $M_{m,n} : X_m \otimes_A X_n \longrightarrow X_{m+n}$ so that $M_{m,n}(\xi \otimes \eta) = \xi\eta$ is isomorphic ; (3) for $a \in A$, $\xi \in X_n$, $a\xi$ and ξa coincide with the left action and right action on X_n respectively.

Let B be a C^*-algebra and X be a product system over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Let $\psi : X \longrightarrow B$ is a map and $\psi_n = \psi|_{X_n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. We say ψ is a *representation* of X to B if (1) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, (ψ_0, ψ_n) is a representation of a Hilbert A-bimodule X_n; (2) $\psi_m(\xi)\psi_n(\eta) = \psi_{m+n}(\xi\eta)$ for $m,n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ and $\xi \in X_m$, $\eta \in X_n$. Define $\psi^{(n)} : \mathcal{K}(X_n) \longrightarrow B$ by $\psi^{(n)}(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) = \psi_n(\xi)\psi_n(\eta)^*$.

Next, let us recall the notion of a Nica covariance. For $S \in \mathcal{K}(X_n)$ and $n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, define a *-homomorphism $\iota_n^m : \mathcal{K}(X_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_m)$ by

$$\iota_n^m(S) = \begin{cases} M_{n,m-n} \circ (S \otimes_A 1_{m-n}) \circ M_{n,m-n}^{-1} & \text{if } 0 < n < m \\ \phi_m(a) & \text{if } n = 0,\ S = L_a \in \mathcal{K}(X_0) \text{ for some } a \in A \\ S & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

where $1_p := 1_{X_p}$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. We say that X is *compactly aligned* if for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, $S_1 \in \mathcal{K}(X_m)$ and $S_2 \in \mathcal{K}(X_n)$, we have $\iota_m^{m\vee n}(S_1)\iota_n^{m\vee n}(S_2) \in \mathcal{K}(X_{m\vee n})$. When a product system X is compactly aligned, we say that ψ is a *Nica covariant representation* if for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, $S_1 \in \mathcal{K}(X_m)$ and $S_2 \in \mathcal{K}(X_n)$, the equation $\psi^{(m)}(S_1)\psi^{(n)}(S_2) = \psi^{(m\vee n)}(\iota_m^{m\vee n}(S_1)\iota_n^{m\vee n}(S_2))$ holds. For a Nica covariance $\psi : X \longrightarrow B$, define $C^*(\psi) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\psi_m(\xi)\psi_n(\eta)^* | m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}, \xi \in X_m, \eta \in X_n\}$ and $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\psi_n(\xi)\psi_n(\eta)^* | n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}, \xi, \eta \in X_n\}$. We call $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ the *core* of $C^*(\psi)$. By [3, Proposition 5.10], if X is compactly aligned, then $C^*(\psi)$ and $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ are C^*-subalgebras of B. In [3], it is shown that there exist a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ and a Nica covariant representation i_X of X to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ which is the universal one in the sense that (1) $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ is generated by $\{i_X(\xi) | \xi \in X\}$; (2) if ψ is any Nica covariant representation of X to a C^*-algebra B then there is a unique homomorphism $\psi_* : \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\psi_* \circ i_X = \psi$.

Let us recall the notion of a Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner covariant representation. For a right-Hilbert A-module Y and an ideal I of A, set $YI = \{\xi \in Y \mid \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_Y \in I\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, define an ideal of A by

$$I_n = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \bigcap_{0<m\leq n} \ker \phi_m & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For $p, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $p \leq n$, define a *-homomorphism $\widetilde{\iota}_p^n : \mathcal{K}(X_p) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{0\leq q\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_q I_{n-q})$ by

$$\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S) = \bigoplus_{p\leq q\leq n} \left(\iota_p^q(S)|_{X_q I_{n-q}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{0\leq q\leq n, p\not\leq q} \left(0|_{X_q I_{n-q}}\right) \in \bigoplus_{0\leq q\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_q I_{n-q})$$

We say that a statement $P(q)$ indexed by $q \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ is true *for large* q if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ such that $n \leq m$ and $P(q)$ holds for $m \leq q$. We say that a Nica covariant representation ψ is a *Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner covariant (or CNP-covariant) representation* if

$$\sum_{p\in F} \psi^{(p)}(S_p) = 0 \text{ whenever } F \subset \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \text{ is finite, } S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p) \text{ for each } p \in F, \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{p\in F} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) = 0 \text{ for large } q.$$

Let i_X be the universal Nica covariant representation and denote by $\mathcal{I}$ an ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)$ generated by

$$\Big\{\sum_{p\in F} \psi^{(p)}(S_p) \Big| F \subset \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \text{ is finite, } S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p) \text{ for each } p \in F, \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{p\in F} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) = 0 \text{ for large } q\Big\}.$$

Define $\mathcal{NO}_X = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ and let q_X be the quotient map $q_X : \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{cov}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{NO}_X$. We call $\mathcal{NO}_X$ the *Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner algebra of* X. Let $j_X : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{NO}_X$ be the composition map $j_X = q_X \circ i_X$. Then j_X is the universal CNP-representation in the sense that if $\psi : X \longrightarrow B$ is a CNP-covariant representation of X, then there is a unique homomorphism $\Pi\psi : \mathcal{NO}_X \longrightarrow B$ such that $\psi = \Pi\psi \circ j_X$. If we assume a product system X is fibred by $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, then it was shown in [18] that j_X is injective. We shall rewrite these definitions in the style of Theorem 2.1.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, set

$$C_n = \mathrm{span}\{\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p) \mid 0 \leq p \leq n,\ S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)\} \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p}).$$

Then the multiplication is closed in C_n since $\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p)\widetilde{\iota}_q^n(S_q) = \widetilde{\iota}_{p\vee q}^n(\iota_p^{p\vee q}(S_p)\iota_q^{p\vee q}(S_q))$.

Proposition 2.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, C_n is a C^*-subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p})$.*

Proof. For a finite subset $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, define $B_F = \mathrm{span}(\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p)\ |p \in F,\ S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)\}$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $p, q \leq n$, we define $d(p,q) = \sum_{l=1}^k |p_{(l)} - q_{(l)}|$. Set $F_i = \{p \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \mid p \leq n,\ d(n,p) = i\}$ and $F_{\leq i} = \{p \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \mid p \leq n,\ d(n,p) \leq i\}$. Then we have $p \vee q \in F_{\leq i}$ for $p \leq n$ and $q \in F_{\leq i}$. Fix a finite set $F \subset F_i$ and set $G = F \cup F_{\leq i-1}$. First we remark that $B_{F_{\leq 0}} = B_{\{n\}} = \widetilde{\iota}_n^n(\mathcal{K}(X_n))$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p})$. Suppose B_G is a C^*-subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p})$. Take $p \in F_i$ with $p \notin G$. Then $B_{\{p\}\cup G} = \widetilde{\iota}_p^n(\mathcal{K}(X_p)) + B_G$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p})$ because

$$\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(\mathcal{K}(X_p))B_G \subset B_{F_{\leq(i-1)}} \subset B_G, \quad B_G\widetilde{\iota}_p^n(\mathcal{K}(X_p)) \subset B_{F_{\leq(i-1)}} \subset B_G.$$

Repeating this, we obtain that $C_n = B_{\leq n}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n} \mathcal{L}(X_p I_{n-p})$. □

3. KATSURA'S CUNTZ-PIMSNER COVARIANCES AND CNP-COVARIANCES

In this section, we consider product systems over $\mathbb{N}$ or Hilbert C^*-bimodules and associated C^*-algebras. In this case, we shall show that a representation is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant if and only if it is CNP-covariant by a direct approach. This equivalence has been shown in [18, Proposition 5.3 (2)] by using gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem. However we can show it without using gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem. Moreover we give an extension of Theorem 2.1.

We prepare some notations (for detail, see [4, Section 2] and [8, Section 1]). Let I be an ideal of A and Y be a right-Hilbert A-module. We denote by Y_I the quotient space Y/YI. The quotient maps $A \longrightarrow A/I$ and $Y \longrightarrow Y_I$ are denoted by the same notation $[\cdot]_I$. The space Y_I has an A/I-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{Y_I}$ and a right action of A/I so that $\langle[\xi]_I, [\eta]_I\rangle_{Y_I} = [\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_Y]_I$ and $[\xi]_I[a]_I = [\xi a]_I$ for $\xi, \eta \in Y$ and $a \in A$. Since $S(YI) \subset YI$ for $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$, we can define $[\cdot]_I : \mathcal{L}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y_I)$ so that $[S]_I[\xi]_I = [S\xi]_I$ for $\xi \in Y$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A, B be C^*-algebras. Let X be a right-Hilbert A-module, Y be a right-Hilbert B-module and $\phi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y)$ be a *-homomorphism. Then we have $\|S \otimes_\phi 1_Y\| = \|[S]_{\ker\phi}\|$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : A \longrightarrow A/\ker\phi$ be the quotient map. Let $\overline{\phi} : A/\ker\phi \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y)$ be an injective *-homomorphism such that $\phi = \overline{\phi} \circ \pi$. We want to define $\Phi : X \otimes_\phi Y \longrightarrow X_{\ker\phi} \otimes_{\overline{\phi}} Y$ so that $\Phi\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes \eta_i\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^n [\xi_i]_{\ker\phi} \otimes \eta_i$. We fix elements $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n \in X$

and $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\xi_i]_{\ker\phi} \otimes \eta_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\xi_j]_{\ker\phi} \otimes \eta_j \Big\rangle_{X_I \otimes_{\overline{\phi}} Y} &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \eta_i, \overline{\phi}(\langle [\xi_i]_{\ker\phi}, [\xi_j]_{\ker\phi} \rangle_{A/\ker\phi}) \eta_j \rangle_Y \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \eta_i, \phi(\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle_A) \eta_j \rangle_Y \\
&= \Big\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \otimes \eta_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j \otimes \eta_j \Big\rangle_{X \otimes_\phi Y}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ can be extended on the whole $X \otimes_\phi Y$ and this induces an isomorphism $X \otimes_\phi Y \cong X_{\ker\phi} \otimes_{\overline{\phi}} Y$. Furthermore we have $\Phi \circ (S \otimes 1_Y) = ([S]_{\ker\phi} \otimes_{\overline{\phi}} 1_Y) \circ \Phi$. Since $\overline{\phi}$ is injective, we obtain $\|S \otimes_\phi 1_Y\| = \|[S]_{\ker\phi} \otimes_{\overline{\phi}} 1_Y\| = \|[S]_{\ker\phi}\|$. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Let I be an ideal of A and X be a right-Hilbert A-module. If $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfies $[S]_I = 0$ and $S|_{XI} = 0$, then $S = 0$.*

Proof. $[S]_I = 0$ implies $\langle \xi, S\eta \rangle \in I$ for any $\xi, \eta \in X$. For an approximate unit $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of I, we have $\langle \xi, S\eta \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle \xi, S\eta \rangle h_\lambda = \lim_\lambda \langle \xi, S(\eta h_\lambda) \rangle = 0$ since we assume $S|_{XI} = 0$. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a product system over $\mathbb{N}$. For $n \le m \in \mathbb{N}$, define $j_{m,n} : C_n \longrightarrow C_m$ by*

$$j_{m,n}\Big(\sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{\,n}(S_p)\Big) = \sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{\,m}(S_p).$$

*Then $j_{m,n}$ is a well-defined injective *-homomorphism.*

Proof. We enough to show for $m = n+1$. For $q \le n$,

$$\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^q(S_p)|_{X_q I_{n-q}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^q(S_p)\Big|_{X_q I_{n+1-q}} = 0$$

since $I_{n+1-q} \subset I_{n-q}$. Moreover

$$\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p) = 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p)\Big|_{X_n I_1} = 0, \ \sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^{n+1}(S_p) = 0.$$

This implies that $j_{n+1,n}$ is well-defined.

Next we suppose

$$\sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{\,n+1}(S_p) = \sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{j}_{n+1,n}\Big(\sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{\,n}(S_p)\Big) = 0.$$

By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Big\| \Big[\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p)\Big]_{\ker\phi} \Big\| = \Big\| \Big(\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p)\Big) \otimes_\phi 1_X \Big\| = \Big\| \sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^{n+1}(S_p) \Big\| = 0.$$

Since $\Big[\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p)\Big]_{\ker\phi} = 0$ and $\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p)|_{X^{\otimes n} I_1} = 0$, we have $\sum_{p \le n} \iota_p^n(S_p) = 0$ by using Lemma 3.2. Therefore we obtain $\sum_{p \le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{\,n}(S_p) = 0$. ☐

Remark 3.4. For a product system X over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $k \geq 2$, $j_{m,n}$ is *not* well-defined in general. This happens because, for example,

$$\iota_p^{n-e_2}(S_p)|_{X_{n-e_2}I_{e_2}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \iota_p^{n-e_2+e_1}(S_p)|_{X_{n-e_2+e_1}I_{e_2}} = 0$$

does not hold for $e_2 \leq n$, $p \leq n$ and $S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)$.

Let ψ be a representation of a product system X over $\mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a correspondence $\kappa_n^{\psi} : C_n \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ by

$$\kappa_n^{\psi}\Big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n}(S_p)\Big) = \sum_{p\leq n} \psi^{(p)}(S_p).$$

We say a representation (π, t) of a Hilbert A-bimodule X is CNP-covariant if the representation from (π, t) of the product system over $\mathbb{N}$ defined from X is CNP-covariant.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action ϕ. Then (π, t) is a CNP-covariant representation if and only if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ is a well-defined *-homomorphism.*

Proof. Let (π, t) be a CNP-covariant representation. Suppose $\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n}(S_p) = 0$ where $S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)$ for $0 \leq p \leq n$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $n \leq m$, we have

$$\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{m}(S_p) = j_{m,n}\big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n}(S_p)\big) = 0.$$

Since (π, t) is CNP-covariant, we get $\sum_{p\leq n} t^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$. Hence $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ is well-defined.

Conversely, we assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ is a well-defined *-homomorphism. Then for any finite set $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ and we suppose $\sum_{p\in F} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{q}(S_p) = 0$ for large q. Set $n_0 = \vee F$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 \leq n$ and $\sum_{p\in F} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n}(S_p) = 0$. If we put $S_p = 0$ for $p \notin F$ with $p \leq n$, then we have $\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n}(S_p) = 0$. Since we assume that $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ is well-defined, we obtain $\sum_{p\in F} t^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$. ☐

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action ϕ and (π, t) be a Cuntz-Pimsner representation. For any $S \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes n} J_X)$, we have $t^{(n)}(S) = t^{(n+1)}(S \otimes_A 1)$.*

Proof. We enough to show for $S = \theta_{\xi a,\eta}$ where $\xi, \eta \in X^{\otimes n}$ and $a \in J_X$. First, if we represent $\phi(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta_{\xi_i,\eta_i}$ (in the sense of norm convergence) for any $a \in \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X))$, then we can check

$$\theta_{\xi a,\eta} \otimes_A 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_{\xi\otimes\xi_i,\eta\otimes\eta_i}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in X^{\otimes n}$. For $a \in J_X$,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{(n+1)}(\theta_{\xi a,\eta} \otimes_A 1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^{n+1}(\theta_{\xi\otimes\xi_i,\eta\otimes\eta_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_n(\xi)t_1(\xi_i)t_1(\eta_i)^* t_n(\eta)^* \\ &= t_n(\xi)t^{(1)}(\phi(a))t_n(\eta)^* = t_n(\xi)\pi(a)t_n(\eta)^* = t_n(\xi a)t_n(\eta)^* = t^{(n)}(\theta_{\xi a,\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

☐

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action ϕ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{L}(X^{\otimes n})$ satisfies $S \otimes_A 1 \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes(n+1)})$ and $S|_{X^{\otimes n}\ker\phi} = 0$, then $S \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes n} J_X)$.*

Proof. We enough to show $\langle \xi, S\eta\rangle_A^n \in J_X$ for $\xi, \eta \in X^{\otimes n}$. From the assumption $S \otimes_A 1 \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes(n+1)})$, we have $\langle \xi, S\eta\rangle_A^n \in \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X))$ for $\xi, \eta \in X^{\otimes n}$. For any $b \in \ker\phi$,

$$\langle \xi, S\eta\rangle_A^n b = \langle \xi, S(\eta b)\rangle_A^n = 0$$

since $S|_{X^{\otimes n}\ker\phi} = 0$. This says that $\langle \xi, S\eta\rangle_A^n \in (\ker\phi)^{\perp}$. Hence we obtain $\langle \xi, S\eta\rangle_A^n \in J_X$. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule with a left action ϕ and (π, t) be a representation of X. Then (π, t) is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant if and only if (π, t) is CNP-covariant.*

Proof. In [18], it has shown that if (π, t) is CNP-covariant, then this is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant. Conversely, we suppose that (π, t) is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant. We have to show that $\sum_{p\le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p) = 0$ implies $\sum_{p\le n} t^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$. We can suppose $S_0 = L_a$ for some $a \in A$. The equation $\sum_{p\le n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p) = 0$ implies $a \in (\ker\phi)^{\perp}$ and the following equations:

$$\Big(\phi_m(a) + \sum_{1\le p\le m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big)\Big|_{X^{\otimes m}\ker\phi} = 0 \ (1 \le m \le n-1), \quad \phi_n(a) + \sum_{1\le p\le n} \iota_p^n(S_p) = 0.$$

Since

$$\Big(\phi_{n-1}(a) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \iota_p^{n-1}(S_p)\Big) \otimes_A 1 = \phi_n(a) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \iota_p^n(S_p) = -S_n \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes n})$$

and $\Big(\phi_{n-1}(a) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \iota_p^{n-1}(S_p)\Big)\Big|_{X^{\otimes(n-1)}\ker\phi} = 0$, we get

$$\phi_{n-1}(a) + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \iota_p^{n-1}(S_p) \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes(n-1)}J_X)$$

by using Lemma 3.7. Repeating this inductively, for $1 \le m \le n-1$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{S}_m := \phi_m(a) + \sum_{p=1}^{m} \iota_p^m(S_p) \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes m}J_X)$$

and $a \in J_X$. Since we assume that (π, t) is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant, for $\widetilde{S}_1 \in \mathcal{K}(XJ_X)$,

$$\pi(a) + t^{(1)}(S_1) = t^{(1)}(\widetilde{S}_1) = t^{(2)}(\widetilde{S}_1 \otimes_A 1).$$

In the last part, we used Lemma 3.6. Since $\widetilde{S}_2 = \widetilde{S}_1 \otimes_A 1 + S_2 \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\otimes 2}J_X)$, we get $\pi(a) + t^{(1)}(S_1) + t^{(2)}(S_2) = t^{(2)}(\widetilde{S}_2) = t^{(3)}(\widetilde{S}_2 \otimes_A 1)$ by the similar way as above. Repeating this, we get $\pi(a) + \sum_{1\le p\le n-1} t^{(p)}(S_p) = t^{(n)}(\widetilde{S}_{n-1} \otimes_A 1)$. Since $\widetilde{S}_{n-1} \otimes_A 1 + S_n = 0$, we conclude $\psi_0(a) + \sum_{1\le p\le n} t^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$. □

From Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8, we get the following statement.

Theorem 3.9. *Let (π, t) be a representation of a Hilbert A-bimodule. Then the following statement is equivalent:*

1. *(π, t) is Cuntz-Pimsner covariant;*
2. *$\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ is well-defined *-homomorphism for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
3. *(π, t) is CNP-representation.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a Hilbert A-bimodule and (π, t) be a Cuntz-Pimsner covariant. Then if π is injective, then so is $\kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover*

$$\kappa^{(\pi,t)} := \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \kappa_n^{(\pi,t)} : \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} C_n \longrightarrow C^*(\pi, t)^{\mathrm{core}}$$

is isometry.

Proof. The injectivity will be proved in Proposition 4.6. We can easily check that $\kappa_m^{(\pi,t)} \circ j_{m,n} = \kappa_n^{(\pi,t)}$ for $n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have done. □

Remark 3.11. We shall note that our explicit form of the core will be very useful to study the properties of the core and Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, relations to dynamical system. On the other hand, in [6, Proposition 4.4] and [7, Proposition 6.3], Katsura showed our Corollary 4.11 for Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. However, Katsura did not give an explicit form of the core like Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 3.10. Instead, he used exact sequences of subalgebras of the core and five-lemma.

4. ON THE CORE OF CUNTZ-NICA-PIMSNER ALGEBRAS

In this section, we give an explicit form of the core of Cuntz-Nica-Pimsner algebras of product systems over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. This is a higher-rank version of Theorem 2.1. More precisely, for an injective CNP-covariant representation ψ of a product system X over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, we shall describe the core $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ of $C^*(\psi)$ as an inductive limit C^*-algebra without using a representation ψ. This means that the structure of the core is independent of the choice of injective CNP-covariant representations. We note that Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.6 were also proved in [2, Section 3], however for the sake of completeness, we give proofs.

Definition 4.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, we define a C^*-algebra by

$$\widetilde{C}_n = \Big\{ \Big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) \Big)_{\{q:n\leq q\}} \in \prod_{n\leq q} C_q \;\Big|\; p \leq n,\ S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p) \Big\}.$$

For $n \leq m$, define a *-homomorphism $\widetilde{j}_{m,n} : \widetilde{C}_n \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}_m$ by

$$\widetilde{j}_{m,n}\Big(\Big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) \Big)_{\{q:n\leq q\}} \Big) = \Big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) \Big)_{\{q:m\leq q\}}$$

We will show that $\widetilde{j}_{m,n}$ is injective in Corollary 4.9.

Definition 4.2. Define $\widetilde{\kappa}_n^{\psi} : \widetilde{C}_n \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ by

$$\widetilde{\kappa}_n^{\psi} : \widetilde{C}_n \ni \Big(\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^q(S_p) \Big)_{\{q:n\leq q\}} \longmapsto \sum_{p\leq n} \psi^{(p)}(S_p) \in C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\kappa}_n^{\psi}$ is well-defined by the notion of the CNP-covariant representation. If ψ is injective, then so is $\widetilde{\kappa}_n^{\psi}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be a Nica covariant representation of X. Take $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ such that $m \not\leq n$. For $\xi \in X_m$ and $\eta \in X_n I_{(m\vee n)-n}$, we have $\psi_m(\xi)^*\psi_n(\eta) = 0$.*

Proof. For any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X_m$, $\eta_1 \in X_n I_{(m\vee n)-n}$, $\eta_2 \in X_n$,

$$\psi_m(\xi_2)\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi_n(\eta_1)\psi_n(\eta_2)^* = \psi^{(m)}(\theta_{\xi_2,\xi_1})\psi^{(n)}(\theta_{\eta_1,\eta_2}) = \psi^{(m\vee n)}(\iota_m^{m\vee n}(\theta_{\xi_2,\xi_1})\iota_n^{m\vee n}(\theta_{\eta_1,\eta_2})).$$

Since $\eta_1 \in X_n I_{(m\vee n)-n}$ and $(m\vee n)-n \neq 0$, $\iota_n^{m\vee n}(\theta_{\eta_1,\eta_2})(\zeta_1\zeta_2) = (\eta_1\langle\eta_2,\zeta_1\rangle_A^n)\zeta_2$ holds for $\zeta_1 \in X_n$, $\zeta_2 \in X_{(m\vee n)-n}$. Hence $\iota_n^{m\vee n}(\theta_{\eta_1,\eta_2}) = 0$. This implies $\psi_m(\xi_2)\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi_n(\eta_1)\psi_n(\eta_2)^* = 0$. Moreover for any $\xi_3 \in X_m$ and $\eta_3 \in X_n$,

$$0 = \psi_m(\xi_3)^*\psi_m(\xi_2)\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi_n(\eta_1)\psi_n(\eta_2)^*\psi_n(\eta_3) = \psi_m(\xi_1\langle\xi_2,\xi_3\rangle_A^m)^*\psi_n(\eta_1\langle\eta_2,\eta_3\rangle_A^n)$$

holds. Since the linear span of elements in the form $\xi_1\langle\xi_2,\xi_3\rangle$ is dense in any right-Hilbert module, we finished. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be a Nica covariant representation of X. For $0 \le m \le n$, $0 \le p \le n$ with $p \not\le m$, $S \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X_m I_{n-m}$, we have $\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi^{(p)}(S)\psi_m(\xi_2) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from $\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi^{(p)}(\theta_{\eta_1,\eta_2})\psi_m(\xi_2) = \psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi_p(\eta_1)\psi_p(\eta_2)^*\psi_m(\xi_2) = 0$ $(\eta_1, \eta_2 \in X_p)$ by Lemma 4.3 □

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be a representation of X. Suppose $p \le m$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Then we have $\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi^{(p)}(S)\psi_m(\xi_2) = \psi_0(\langle\xi_1, \iota_p^m(S)\xi_2\rangle_A^m)$.*

Proof.

$$\psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi^{(p)}(S)\psi_m(\xi_2) = \psi_m(\xi_1)^*\psi_m(\iota_p^m(S)\xi_2) = \psi_0(\langle\xi_1, \iota_p^m(S)\xi_2\rangle_A^m).$$

□

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be a Nica covariant representation of X. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. For $l \le m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $n \le m$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X_l I_{m-l}$, we have*

$$\psi_l(\xi_1)^*\Big(\sum_{p\le n}\psi^{(p)}(S_p)\Big)\psi_l(\xi_2) = \psi_0\Big(\langle\xi_1, \sum_{p\le n,\ p\le l}\iota_p^l(S_p)\xi_2\rangle_A^m\Big)$$

where $S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)$ for each $0 \le p \le n$. In particular, if ψ is injective and $\sum_{p\le n}\psi^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$, then we have $\sum_{p\le n}\widetilde{\iota}_p^m(S_p) = 0$ for all $n \le m$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 and 4.5, we get

$$\psi_l(\xi_1)^*\Big(\sum_{p\le n}\psi^{(p)}(S_p)\Big)\psi_l(\xi_2) = \psi_l(\xi_1)^*\Big(\sum_{p\le m}\psi^{(p)}(S_p)\Big)\psi_l(\xi_2) = \psi_0\Big(\langle\xi_1, \sum_{p\le n, p\le l}\iota_p^l(S_p)\xi_2\rangle_A^l\Big)$$

for $l \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $l \le m$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X_l I_{m-l}$. If we suppose that ψ is injective and $\sum_{p\le n}\psi^{(p)}(S_p) = 0$, then we have $\langle\xi_1, \sum_{p\le n, p\le l}\iota_p^l(S_p)\xi_2\rangle_A^l = 0$. This implies $\sum_{p\le n, p\le l}\iota_p^l(S_p)|_{X_l I_{m-l}} = 0$. □

From the previous proposition, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be an injective CNP-covariant representation of X. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ the *-homomorphism $\widetilde{\kappa}_n^\psi : \widetilde{C}_n \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ is injective.*

Proposition 4.8. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Take $S_p \in \mathcal{K}(X_p)$ for $p \leq n$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^m(S_p) = 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p) = 0$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $n \leq m$.

Proof. We enough to show for $m = n + e_i$. Suppose

$$\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^{n+e_i}(S_p) = 0.$$

Then we have $\sum_{p\leq n} \iota_p^n(S_p)|_{X_n \ker \phi_{e_i}} = 0$ and $\sum_{p\leq n} \iota_p^{n+e_i}(S_p) = 0$. The latter equation says that $\sum_{p\leq n} \iota_p^n(S_p) \otimes_{\phi_{e_i}} 1_{X_{e_i}} = 0$. By Lemma 3.1, we get $\left[\sum_{p\leq n} \iota_p^n(S_p)\right]_{\ker \phi_{e_i}} = 0$. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain $\sum_{p\leq n} \iota_p^n(S_p) = 0$. Next, for $m < n$, we shall show

$$\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m}} = 0.$$

Thanks to [18, Lemma 3.15], $\widetilde{\phi}_{n-m+e_i} := \widetilde{\iota}_0^{n-m+e_i} : A \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{0\leq q\leq n+m-e_i} \mathcal{L}(X_q I_{n-m+e_i-q})$ is injective. So we shall prove

$$\Big(\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m}}\Big) \otimes_{\widetilde{\phi}_{n-m+e_i}} 1_{\bigoplus_{q\leq n+m-e_i} X_q I_{n-m+e_i-q}} = 0.$$

To prove this, we shall show

$$\Big(\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m}}\Big) \otimes_{\phi_q} 1_{X_q I_{(n-m+e_i)-q}} = 0.$$

for $q \leq n - m + e_i$. We shall consider the following three cases for q.

Case.1 $q \neq 0$ **and** $q \leq n - m$**:** Since $X_m I_{n-m} \otimes_{\phi_q} X_q I_{(n-m+e_i)-q} = \{0\}$ by the definition of I_{n-m}, we have

$$\Big(\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m}}\Big) \otimes_{\phi_q} 1_{X_q I_{(n-m+e_i)-q}} = 0.$$

Case.2 $q = 0$**:** Remark that $X_m I_{n-m} \otimes_{\phi_0} I_{n-m+e_i} = X_m I_{n-m+e_i}$ and we now assume

$$\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m+e_i}} = 0.$$

From these, we obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\Big|_{X_m I_{n-m}}\Big) \otimes_{\phi_0} 1_{I_{n-m+e_i}} = 0.$$

Case.3 $q \neq 0$ **and** $q \not\leq n - m$**:** Fix $\xi \in X_m I_{n-m}$ and $\eta \in X_q I_{n-m+e_i}$. Then we have $\xi\eta \in X_{m+q} I_{n-m+e_i}$ and

$$\Big(\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\xi\Big)\eta = \sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^{m+q}(S_p)(\xi\eta) = 0$$

by the assumption. Hence we have $\sum_{p\leq m} \iota_p^m(S_p)\xi \otimes \eta = 0$. Hence we have done for this case.

Combining the all cases, we get $\sum_{p\leq n} \widetilde{\iota}_p^n(S_p) = 0$. ☐

Corollary 4.9. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. For $n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, $\widetilde{j}_{m,n}$ is injective.*

Hence we can consider an inductive limit C^*-algebra

$$\varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}} \widetilde{C}_n = \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}} (\widetilde{C}_n, \widetilde{j}_{m,n}).$$

We have the structure of the core of a C^*-algebra $C^*(\psi)$ for a CNP-representation ψ of a compactly aligned product system over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, and ψ be an injective CNP-covariant representation of X. Then*

$$\widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi} := \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}} \widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_n : \varinjlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}} \widetilde{C}_n \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$$

is isometry.

Proof. Since we assume ψ is an injective CNP-covariant representation, $\widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_n$ is injective by Corollary 4.7. We can check easily $\widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_m \circ \widetilde{j}_{m,n} = \widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_n$ for $n \leq m$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Hence we obtain this theorem. ☐

Let X be a product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. For the universal CNP-covariant representation $j_X : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{NO}_X$, there is an action γ of $\mathbb{T}^k = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus k}}$ such that $\gamma_z(j_X(\xi)) = z^n j_X(\xi)$ for $\xi \in X_n$ by the universality. Then γ is strongly contiunuous and we can define a linear map by

$$E(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \gamma_z(x)dz$$

for $x \in \mathcal{NO}_X$, where dz is the normalized Haar measure. Then we have $E(\psi_n(\xi)\psi_m(\eta)^*) = \delta_{n,m}\psi_n(\xi)\psi_m(\eta)^*$ for $\xi \in X_n$, $\eta \in X_m$. Hence E is a faithful conditional expectation onto $C^*(j_X)^{\mathrm{core}}$. Using this conditional expectation, we can easily check that the core $C^*(j_X)^{\mathrm{core}}$ coincides with the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{NO}_X^{\gamma}$.

Corollary 4.11. *Let X be a compactly aligned product system of Hilbert A-bimodules over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Let ψ be an injective CNP-covariant representation. Then the restriction of the surjection $\Pi\psi : \mathcal{NO}_X \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)$ to the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{NO}_X^{\gamma}$ is injective.*

Proof. Since the universal CNP-covariant representation j_X is injective, we get this corollary by Theorem 4.10 ☐

5. Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem for topological higher-rank graph C^*-algebras

In this section, we investigate product system C^*-algebras associated with topological higher-rank graphs. In particular, we shall prove Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem for compactly aligned topological k-graph in the sense of Yeend ([20], [21]) under certain aperiodic condition. We also refer to [2, Section 5]. In the case that Λ is row-finite without sources, it has done in [19, Section 3].

First, we set up the notations. For a locally compact (Hausdorff) space Ω, we denote by $C(\Omega)$ the linear space of all continuous functions on Ω. We define $C_c(\Omega)$, $C_0(\Omega)$, $C_b(\Omega)$ by those of compactly supported functions, functions vanishing at infinity, and bounded functions, respectively.

We say that (Λ, d) is a *topological k-graph* if (1) a small category Λ which has a locally compact topology; (2) the range map r and the source map s are continuous and the source map s is locally homeomorphic; (3) the composition map of Λ is continuous and open; (4) the degree map $d : \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is continuous where we endow $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with the discrete topology; (5) for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ such that $d(\lambda) = m + n$, there exists unique $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ such that $d(\mu) = m,\ d(\nu) = n$, and $\lambda = \mu\nu$. By the property (5) of Λ, for $0 \leq n \leq m \leq l$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^l$, there are unique $\lambda(0, n) \in \Lambda^n$, $\lambda(n, m) \in \Lambda^{m-n}$ and $\lambda(m, l) \in \Lambda^{l-m}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda(0, n)\lambda(n, m)\lambda(m, l)$. Define $\mathrm{Seg}^l_{(n,m)} : \Lambda^l \longrightarrow \Lambda^{m-n}$ by $\mathrm{Seg}^l_{(n,m)}(\lambda) = \lambda(n, m)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, we define $\Lambda^m = d^{-1}(\{m\})$ and $r_m = r|_{\Lambda^m}$, $s_m = s|_{\Lambda^m}$. For $U, V \subset \Lambda$, we write $UV = \{\lambda\mu \mid \lambda \in U, \mu \in V, s(\lambda) = r(\mu)\}$. For $U \subset \Lambda^m$, $V \subset \Lambda^n$, define

$$U \vee V = U\Lambda^{m\vee n-m} \cap V\Lambda^{m\vee n-n}.$$

Next we shall construct a product system over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ from a topological k-graph Λ. Set $A = C_0(\Lambda^0)$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, let X_n be the Hilbert A-bimodule associated to the topological graph $(\Lambda^0, \Lambda^n, r|_{\Lambda^n}, s|_{\Lambda^n})$ in the sense of Katsura [6, Definition 2.1]. X_n is the completion of the pre Hilbert A-bimodule $X_n^{\mathrm{cpt}} := C_c(\Lambda^n)$ with

$$\langle \xi, \eta\rangle^n_A(v) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^n v} \overline{\xi(\lambda)}\eta(\lambda), \quad (a\xi b)(\lambda) = a(r(\lambda))\xi(\lambda)b(s(\lambda))$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, $a, b \in A$, $\xi, \eta \in X_n^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^n$. Then $X = \sqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}} X_n$ is a product system over $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ (see [2, Proposition 5.9]).

We say a topological k-graph Λ is compactly aligned if $U \vee V$ is compact whenever U and V are compact. In [2, Proposition 5.15], it is shown that a topological k-graph Λ is compactly aligned if and only if the product system arising from Λ is compactly aligned.

Next we define some terms for convenience.

Definition 5.1. Given finitely many functions $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_L, \eta_1, \cdots \eta_L$ of $C_c(\Lambda^m)$, we say $\{(\xi_i, \eta_i)\}_{i=1}^L$ is a *pair of orthogonal functions* for degree m if for any $i = 1, \cdots, L$, $\xi_i(\lambda)\overline{\eta_i(\lambda')} = 0$ for $s(\lambda) = s(\lambda')$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. For a set $\Omega \subset \Lambda^m$ and $u_1, \cdots, u_L \in C_c(\Lambda^m)$, $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ is a *partition of unity* for Ω if u_i satisfies $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^L u_i^2(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \in \Omega$, and the restriction of s_m to the support $\mathrm{supp}(u_i)$ of u_i is injective. In partcular, $\{(u_i, u_i)\}_{i=1}^L$ is an pair of orthogonal functions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ.*

1. *For $\xi \in C_c(\Lambda^m)$, there exists a partition of unity $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ for the compact support* $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$ *of ξ.*
2. *If $\xi \in X_m$ and $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ is a partition of unity for the support* $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$ *of ξ, then $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^L u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle$.*

Proof. (1) Take $\xi \in C_c(\Lambda^m)$. Since s is a local homeomorphism, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda^m$ there exists an relative compact open neighborhood U_λ of λ such that the restriction of s_m to U_λ is injective. Since $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$ is compact, we can find $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L \in \Lambda^m$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\xi) \subset \cup_{i=1}^L U_{\lambda_i}$. Take functions $v_1, \cdots, v_L$ satisfying $0 \leq v_i \leq 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(v_i) \subset U_{\lambda_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq L$, and $\sum_{i=1}^L v_i(\lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{supp}(\xi)$. Set $u_i := v_i^{1/2}$. Then $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ is a partition of unity for $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$.

(2) Take $\xi \in X_m$ and a partition of unity $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ for $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^m$,

$$\Big(\sum_{i=1}^L u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle\Big)(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^L u_i(\lambda)\Big(\sum_{s(\mu)=s(\lambda)} u_i(\mu)\xi(\mu)\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^L u_i(\lambda)^2\xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda).$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ, and ψ be a representation of X. Let $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ be a partition of unity for the support $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$ of $\xi \in X_n$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \psi_n(u_i)x\psi_n(u_i)^*\psi_n(\xi) = \psi_n(\xi)x$$

for all elements x of the relative commutant algebra $\psi_0(A)' \cap C^*(\psi)$.

Proof. For $x \in \psi_0(A)' \cap C^*(\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^L \psi_n(u_i)x\psi_n(u_i)^*\psi_n(\xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^L \psi_n(u_i)x\psi_0(\langle u_i, \xi\rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^L \psi_n(u_i)\psi_0(\langle u_i, \xi\rangle)x \\ &= \psi_n(\sum_{i=1}^L u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle)x.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ is a partition of unity for $\mathrm{supp}(\xi)$, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^L u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle = \xi$ by Lemma 5.2. □

Let Λ be a topological k-graph. For $m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$, let us define an injective *-homomorphism $\pi_m : C_b(\Lambda^m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_m)$ by

$$(\pi_m(Q)\xi)(\lambda) = Q(\lambda)\xi(\lambda), \quad Q \in C_b(\Lambda^m),\ \lambda \in \Lambda^m.$$

The following lemma is proved by Katsura ([6, Lemma 1.16, 1.17])

Lemma 5.4. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the image $\pi_m(C_0(\Lambda^m))$ of $C_0(\Lambda^m)$ is included in the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{K}(X_m)$. Given $Q \in C_c(\Lambda^m)$, there exists a pair of orthogonal functions $\{(\xi_i, \eta_i)\}_{i=1}^L$ such that*

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^L \xi_i\overline{\eta_i}, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_m(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^L \theta_{\xi_i,\eta_i}.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ. Let ψ be a representation of X. Set $\varphi_m^\psi = \psi^{(m)} \circ \pi_m : C_0(\Lambda^m) \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)$. Then, for any $Q \in C_0(\Lambda^m)$, we have $\varphi_m^\psi(Q) \in \psi_0(A)' \cap C^*(\psi)$.*

Proof. For $a \in A$ and $S \in \mathcal{K}(X_m)$, we can show $\psi_0(a)\psi^{(m)}(S) = \psi^{(m)}(\phi_m(a)S)$ (see [7, Lemma 2.4]). For $Q \in C_0(\Lambda^m)$, we get

$$\psi_0(a)\varphi_m^\psi(Q) = \psi_0(a)\psi^{(m)}(\pi_m(Q)) = \psi^{(m)}(\phi_m(a)\pi_m(Q)) = \psi^{(m)}(\pi_m(Q)\phi_m(a)) = \varphi_m^\psi(Q)\psi_0(a).$$

□

For $Q_1 \in C_b(\Lambda^m),\ Q_2 \in C_b(\Lambda^n)$, define a function $Q_1\widehat{\otimes}Q_2 \in C_b(\Lambda^{m+n})$ by $(Q_1\widehat{\otimes}Q_2)(\lambda) = Q_1(\lambda(0,m))Q_2(\lambda(m,m+n))$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^{m+n}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ. Let ψ be a representation of X. Let $\{(u_i, u_i)\}_{i=1}^L$ be a pair of orthogonal functions for degree m. Then for $Q \in C_0(\Lambda^n)$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} \psi_m(u_i)\varphi_n^{\psi}(Q)\psi_m(u_i)^* = \varphi_{m+n}^{\psi}(\sum_{i=1}^{L} |u_i|^2 \widehat{\otimes} Q).$$

Proof. It is enough to show for $Q \in C_c(\Lambda^m)$. By Lemma 5.4, there is a pair of orthogonal functions $\{(\xi_j, \eta_j)\}_{j=1}^M$ such that $Q = \sum_{j=1}^M \xi_j \overline{\eta_j}$. Then $\{(u_i \otimes \xi_j, u_i \otimes \eta_j)\}_{1\le i\le L, 1\le j\le M}$ is a pair of orthogonal functions for degree $m+n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{L} \psi_m(u_i)\varphi_n^{\psi}(Q)\psi_m(u_i)^* &= \sum_{i,j} \psi_{m+n}(u_i \otimes \xi_j)\psi_{m+n}(u_i \otimes \eta_j)^* \\ &= \varphi_{m+n}^{\psi}(\sum_{i,j} (u_i \widehat{\otimes} \xi_j)\overline{(u_i \widehat{\otimes} \eta_j)}) \\ &= \varphi_{m+n}^{\psi}(\sum_{i=1}^{L} |u_i|^2 \widehat{\otimes} Q).\end{aligned}$$

□

Using the lemmas above, we obtain the following.

Lemma 5.7. *Let Λ be a topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ. Let ψ be a representation of X. Let $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^L$ be a partition of unity for the support* supp(ξ) *of $\xi \in X_m$ and $Q \in C_0(\Lambda^n)$. Then we have*

$$\psi_m(\xi)\varphi_n^{\psi}(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \varphi_{m+n}^{\psi}(u_i^2 \widehat{\otimes} Q)\psi_m(\xi)$$

Next, we introduce aperiodic condition which is used in Cuntz-Krieger type theorem. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ such that $p \le q$, there exists uniquely $\lambda(p,q) \in \Lambda^{p-q}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda(p,q) \lambda_2$ where $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda^p$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^{n-q}$. For $m \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^k$, define a (discrete) topological k-graph $\Omega_{k,m}$ by

$$\Omega_{k,m} = \{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \times \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \mid p \le q \le m\},\ r(p,q) = p,\ s(p,q) = q$$

and $d(p,q) = q - p$. We denote $\Omega_{k,m}^0 = \{p \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \mid p \le m\}$.

Definition 5.8. Let Λ be a topological k-graph and $m \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^k$. We say a morphism $\alpha : \Omega_{k,m} \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is a *boundary path* if $\alpha(n)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $n_{(i)} = m_{(i)}$. Let us define $d(\alpha) = m$ for a boundary path α and $\Lambda^{\le\infty}$ be the set of boundary paths. For $V \subset \Lambda^0$, we define $V\Lambda^{\le\infty} = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^{\le\infty} \mid \alpha(0) \in V\}$.

Lemma 5.9. *For $1 \le k \le \infty$, $v\Lambda^{\le\infty}$ is non-empty.*

Proof. For the case $1 \le k < \infty$, for any $v \in \Lambda^0$, we can show that $v\Lambda^{\le\infty}$ is not empty by the same way of [16, Lemma 2.11]. But we remark that the method of [16, Lemma 2.11] can not be applied for the case $k = \infty$. For $m \le n$, define a surjective map $\pi_{m,n} : v\Lambda^{\le n} \longrightarrow v\Lambda^{\le m}$ by

$$\pi_{m,n}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda(0,m) & \text{if } m \le d(\lambda) \\ \lambda(0,m') & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where m' is defined by $(m')_{(l)} = m_{(l)}$ if $m_{(l)} \leq d(\lambda)_{(l)}$ and otherwise $(m')_{(l)} = d(\lambda)_{(l)}$. We can check $\pi_{m,n}$ is surjective. Then

$$v\Lambda^{\leq \infty} \ni \alpha \longmapsto \{\alpha(0,l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}, l \leq d(\alpha)} \in \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}} (v\Lambda^{\leq n}, \pi_{m,n})$$

is bijective. By [1, Proposition 5, p.198], $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}} (v\Lambda^{\leq n}, \pi_{m,n})$ is non-empty. □

For $p \leq \sigma^p \alpha$, define $\sigma^p : \Lambda^{\leq\infty} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\leq\infty}$ so that $(\sigma^p\alpha)(m,n) = \alpha(m+p, n+p)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $m \leq n \leq d(\alpha) - p$. We shall define aperiodic condition which is slightly stronger than [21, Definition 5.1].

Definition 5.10. We say Λ satisfies *aperiodic condition* if for a non-empty open set V, there are $v_0 \in V$ and $\alpha \in v_0\Lambda^{\leq\infty}$ such that

$$\text{(A)} \qquad p, q \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k} \text{ with } p \neq q \leq d(\alpha) \Longrightarrow \sigma^p(\alpha) \neq \sigma^q(\alpha)$$

Remark that if $d(\alpha) \notin \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ then $\sigma^p(\alpha) \neq \sigma^q(\alpha)$ means that there is $M = M_{p,q} \leq d(\sigma^p(\alpha)) \wedge d(\sigma^q(\alpha))$ such that $\alpha(p, p+M) \neq \alpha(q, q+M)$.

First we shall show that aperiodic condition implies with topological freeness which is defined in [6, Definition 5.4] when $k = 1$. We recall the definition of topological freeness.

Let Λ be a topological 1-graph. For $n \geq 1$, $\lambda \in v\Lambda^n v$ is called a *loop* and v is called a *base point* of λ. A loop $\lambda \in v\Lambda^n v$ based at v is said to be *without entrances* if for $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, $\mu \in \Lambda^1$ satisfies $r(\mu) = r(\lambda(m, m+1))$, then $\mu = \lambda(m, m+1)$.

Definition 5.11. Let Λ be a topological 1-graph. For $n \geq 1$, define

$$\mathrm{Per}_n := \{v \in \Lambda^0 \mid \text{Every } \lambda \in v\Lambda^n v \text{ has no entrance.}\}$$

and $\mathrm{Per} := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Per}_n$. We say Λ is *topologically free* if the set of interior points of Per is the empty set.

Proposition 5.12. *Let Λ be a topological 1-graph. Then if Λ satisfies aperiodic condition, then Λ is topologically free.*

Proof. We assume Λ satisfies aperiodic condition. For any non-empty open set V of Λ^0, there are $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in v\Lambda^{\leq\infty}$ such that α satisfies (A). We shall show that for any $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in v\Lambda^n v$, there is an entrance of λ. First we suppose $\alpha = \lambda\alpha$. Then we have $\alpha = \lambda\lambda\cdots$. But this contradicts to $\sigma^n\alpha \neq \alpha$. So we get $\alpha \neq \lambda\alpha$. This says that there is a minimal $M \geq 0$ such that $\alpha(0, M) \neq (\lambda\alpha)(0, M)$. If we suppose $M \leq d(\lambda)$, then $\alpha(0, d(\lambda)) \neq \lambda$ and this says the existence of an entrance of α. Suppose $M > d(\lambda)$. By the minimality of M, we have $\alpha(0, d(\lambda)) = \lambda$ and $\alpha(d(\lambda), M) \neq \lambda(0, M - d(\lambda))$. Hence we have shown λ has an entrance. □

Example 5.13. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots | p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots\}$ be the set of all prime numbers. For each $q \in P$, we define by $\mathbb{Z}_q$ the q-adic ring. Define $\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$, we set $p^n = (p_i^{n_{(i)}})_{i=1}^{\infty}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$, define the endomorphism α_n on $C(\mathcal{Z})$ so that

$$\alpha_n(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x/p^n) & \text{if } x \in p^n\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(p_i^{n_{(i)}}\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the Bost-Connes algebra is isomorphic to $C(\mathcal{Z}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$ which was shown in [10, Proposition 32].

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$, define $\Lambda^0 = \mathcal{Z}$, $\Lambda^n = p^n\mathcal{Z}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$, $x \in \Lambda^n$, define $r_n(x) = x$. $s_n(x) = x/p^n$. From $(\Lambda^0, \Lambda^n, r_n, s_n)$, we can construct a topological ∞-graph Λ_{BC}. We remark that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus\infty}$, $r_n(\Lambda^n)$ is not dense in Λ^0. This says that left actions of Hilbert bimodules are not injective.

Let X be the product system arising from Λ_{BC}. Then $\mathcal{NO}_X$ is isomorphic to the Bost-Connes algebra by the universality. In particular, if we set $u_n := \psi_n(1_{p^n\mathcal{Z}})$, we have $u_n u_m = u_{n+m}$ and $u_n\psi_0(f)u_n^* = \psi_0(\alpha_n(f))$.

We want to show that Λ_{BC} satisfies aperiodic condition. Take $\alpha \in v\Lambda_{\mathrm{BC}}^{\leq\infty}$. If $d(\alpha)_{(l)} = \infty$, then we have $x(0)_l = 0$. But for each $p \in P$, $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence we have that $v \in \Lambda^0_{\mathrm{BC}}$ such that every element of $v\Lambda_{\mathrm{BC}}^{\leq\infty}$ has a finite degree is dense in Λ^0_{BC}. This implies that Λ_{BC} satisfies aperiodic condition.

We prepare a lemma which is due to Renault-Sims-Yeend. We would like to thank Aidan Sims who allows us to use it in here.

Lemma 5.14. *Let Λ be a compactly aligned topological k-graph. Let K be a compact subset of Λ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $\{\lambda\} \vee K = \emptyset$ holds, then there is a neighborhood of λ such that $V \vee K = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let us suppose $V \vee K \neq \emptyset$ for any neighborhood V of λ. Fix a compact neighborhood V_0 of λ such that $V_0 \vee K \neq \emptyset$. For $\lambda \in V \subset V_0$, we can take $\mu_V \in V_0 \vee K$ and $\mu_V(0, d(\lambda)) \in V$. Since the net $\{\mu_V\}_{V \subset V_0}$ is in the compact set $V_0 \vee K$, we can find a subnet $\{\mu_{V_j}\}$ of $\{\mu_V\}$ such that μ_{V_j} converges to some $\mu \in V_0 \vee K$. Since $\mathrm{Seg}_{(0,d(\lambda))}$ is continuous, we obtain $\mu(0, d(\lambda)) = \lambda$. Hence we obtain $\mu \in \{\lambda\} \vee K$, however this contradicts $\{\lambda\} \vee K = \emptyset$. $\square$

Let X be the product system arising from a compact aligned topological k-graph Λ and ψ be a CNP-covariant representation of X. Define a linear subspace $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ of $C^*(\psi)$ by

$$C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}} = \mathrm{span}\{\psi_n(\xi)\psi_m(\eta)^* | n, m \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k},\ \xi \in X_n^{\mathrm{cpt}},\ \eta \in X_m^{\mathrm{cpt}}\}.$$

By [6, Lemma 1.6], $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ is dense in $C^*(\psi)$.

Next, we shall show the key proposition in this section.

Proposition 5.15. *Let Λ be a compactly aligned topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ. Let ψ be a CNP-covariant representation. Suppose Λ satisfies aperiodic condition and ψ is injective. Take $x, x_0 \in C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ such that*

$$x = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L} \psi_{n_{i,1}}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_{i,2}}(\xi_{i,2})^*, \quad x_0 = \sum_{\{1 \leq i \leq L | n_{i,1} = n_{i,2}\}} \psi_{n_{i,1}}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_{i,2}}(\xi_{i,2})^*$$

where $\xi_{i,j} \in X^{\mathrm{cpt}}_{n_{i,j}}$ $(j = 1, 2)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$, there exist $b_1, b_2 \in C^*(\psi)$ such that $\|b_1\|, \|b_2\| \leq 1$, $b_1^* x b_2 = b_1^* x_0 b_2$ and $\|x_0\| \leq \|b_1^* x b_2\| + \epsilon$.

Proof. Set $n_0 = \bigvee_{i=1}^L (n_{i,1} \vee n_{i,2})$. Define $\mathcal{I}_0 = \{1 \leq i \leq L \mid n_{i,1} = n_{i,2}\}$ and $n_i := n_{i,1} = n_{i,2}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} \psi_{n_i}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_i}(\xi_{i,2})^* = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} \psi^{(n_i)}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}}) \\ &= \widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_{n_0}\Big(\Big(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} \widetilde{\iota}^{\,n}_{n_i}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}})\Big)_{\{n : n_0 \leq n\}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume ψ is injective, $\widetilde{\kappa}^{\psi}_n$ is also injective by Corollary 4.7. Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $n \geq n_0$ such that

$$\|x_0\| \leq \Big\|\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}_0} \widetilde{\iota}^{n}_{n_i}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}})\Big\|_{\bigoplus_{0\leq p\leq n}\mathcal{L}(X_pI_{n-p})} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Then there is $0 \leq p \leq n$ such that

$$\|x_0\| \leq \Big\| \sum_{\{i\in\mathcal{I}_0 | n_i\leq p\}} \iota^{p}_{n_i}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}})\Big|_{X_pI_{n-p}}\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_pI_{n-p})} + \epsilon.$$

Therefore for the above ϵ, there exist some $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in X^{\mathrm{cpt}}_p I_{n-p}$ such that

$$\|x_0\| < \Big\| \sum_{\{i\in\mathcal{I}_0|n_i\leq p\}} \langle \eta_1, \iota^{p}_{n_i}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}})\eta_2\rangle^{p}_{A}\Big\| + \epsilon = \|g_p\| + \epsilon$$

where we put $g_p = \sum_{\{i\in\mathcal{I}_0|n_i\leq p\}} \langle \eta_1, \iota^{p}_{n_i}(\theta_{\xi_{i,1},\xi_{i,2}})\eta_2\rangle^{p}_{A} \in A$. Then there are $v' \in \Lambda^0$ and non-empty neighborhood V of v' such that $\|x_0\| < |g_p(v)| + \epsilon$ for any $v \in V$. If $0 \leq p < n$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_p(\eta_1)^* x \psi_p(\eta_2) &= \sum_{\{i|n_{i,1},n_{i,2}\leq p\}} \psi_p(\eta_1)^*\psi_{n_{i,1}}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_{i,2}}(\xi_{i,2})^*\psi_p(\eta_2) \\
&= \sum_{\{i|n_{i,1},n_{i,2}\leq p\}} \psi_{n'_{i,1}}(\xi'_{i,1})^*\psi_{n'_{i,2}}(\xi'_{i,2})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi'_{i,j} \in X^{\mathrm{cpt}}_{n'_{i,j}} I_{n-p}$ $(j = 1, 2)$ and $n'_{i,j} = p - n_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$. Next we suppose $p = n$. Set $n'_{i,j} := n - n_{i,j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n(\eta_1)^* x \psi_n(\eta_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \psi_n(\eta_1)^*\psi_{n_{i,1}}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_{i,2}}(\xi_{i,2})^*\psi_n(\eta_2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \psi_{n'_{i,1}}(\xi'_{i,1})^*\psi_{n'_{i,2}}(\xi'_{i,2})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi'_{i,j} \in X^{\mathrm{cpt}}_{n'_{i,j}}$ $(j = 1, 2)$. Hence for $0 \leq p \leq n$, we get

$$\psi_p(\eta_1)^* x \psi_p(\eta_2) = \sum_{\{i|n_{i,1},n_{i,2}\leq p\}} \psi_{n'_{i,1}}(\xi'_{i,1})^*\psi_{n'_{i,2}}(\xi'_{i,2})$$

and

$$\psi_p(\eta_1)^* x_0 \psi_p(\eta_2) = \sum_{\{i|n_{i,1}=n_{i,2}\leq p\}} \psi_p(\eta_1)^*\psi_{n_{i,1}}(\xi_{i,1})\psi_{n_{i,2}}(\xi_{i,2})^*\psi_p(\eta_2) = \psi_0(g_p).$$

For $Q \in C_0(\Lambda^M)$, by Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.7, there are $u_{i,j} \in X^{\mathrm{cpt}}_{n'_{i,j}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}_0^c} \varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)\psi_{n'_{i,1}}(\xi'_{i,1})^*\psi_{n'_{i,2}}(\xi'_{i,2})\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q) \\
(\sharp) \qquad &= \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}_0^c} \psi_{n'_{i,1}}(\xi'_{i,1})^*\varphi^{\psi}_{n'_{i,1}+M}(u_{i,1}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\varphi^{\psi}_{n'_{i,2}+M}(u_{i,2}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\psi_{n'_{i,2}}(\xi'_{i,2})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_0^c = \{1 \le i \le L \mid i \notin \mathcal{I}_0\}$. We want to find $Q \in C_c(\Lambda^M)$ such that $(\sharp) = 0$. Since ψ is Nica covariant, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^{\psi}_{n'_{i,1}+M}(u_{i,1}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\varphi^{\psi}_{n'_{i,2}+M}(u_{i,2}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\\
&\quad= \psi^{(n'_{i,1}\vee n'_{i,2}+M)}\Big(\iota^{(n'_{i,1}\vee n'_{i,2})+M}_{n'_{i,1}+M}\big(\pi_{n'_{i,1}+M}(u_{i,1}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad \iota^{(n'_{i,1}\vee n'_{i,2})+M}_{n'_{i,2}+M}\big(\pi_{n'_{i,2}+M}(u_{i,2}\widehat{\otimes}Q)\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $(n'_{i,1}+M)\vee(n'_{i,2}+M) = (n'_{i,1}\vee n'_{i,2})+M$. For proving $(\sharp) = 0$, we enough to construct a compact neighborhood U such that

$$\mathrm{supp}(u_{i,1})U \vee \mathrm{supp}(u_{i,2})U = \emptyset$$

for all $i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c$ and a function $Q \in C_c(U)$. Since we suppose Λ satisfies aperiodic condition, there is $\alpha \in v_0\Lambda^{\le\infty}$ such that α holds (A). Fix $i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c$.

Case 1, there is $1 \le l \le k$ such that $d(\alpha)_{(l)} < \infty$ and $(n'_{i,1})_{(l)} \ne (n'_{i,2})_{(l)}$.
Set $n'_i = n'_{i,1} \vee n'_{i,2}$. We may suppose $d(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus k}$ with $\alpha\Lambda^{e_l} = \emptyset$ and $(n'_i - n'_{i,2})_{(l)} > 0$ for the above l. Set

$$K_{i,1} = \mathrm{supp}(u_{i,1}),\ K_{i,2} = \mathrm{supp}(u_{i,2})$$

If there is a non-empty compact neighborhood $U_{i,1}$ of α such that $K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1} = \emptyset$, then we finished. So we suppose $K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1} \ne \emptyset$. Then

$$\mathrm{Seg}^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}_{(n'_{i,2},n'_i+d(\alpha))}(K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1})$$

is a compact neighborhood because $\mathrm{Seg}^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}_{n'_{i,2},n'_i+d(\alpha)}$ is open continuous map. Since $(n'_i - n'_{i,2})_{(l)} > 0$ and $d(\alpha)_{(l)} < \infty$, we have

$$\mathrm{Seg}^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}_{(n'_{i,2},n'_i+d(\alpha))}(K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1}) \vee \{\alpha\} = \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 5.14, there is a compact neighborhood $U_{i,2}$ of α such that

$$\mathrm{Seg}^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}_{(n'_{i,2},n'_i+d(\alpha))}(K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1}) \vee U_{i,2} = \emptyset.$$

Set $U_i = U_{i,1} \cap U_{i,2}$. Suppose we can take an element $\lambda \in K_{i,1}U_i \vee K_{i,2}U_i \subset \Lambda^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}$. Then we have

$$\lambda(n'_{i,2}, n'_i + d(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{Seg}^{n'_i+d(\alpha)}_{(n'_{i,2},n'_i+d(\alpha))}(K_{i,1}U_{i,1} \vee K_{i,2}U_{i,1})$$

and $\lambda(n'_{i,2}, n'_{i,2} + d(\alpha)) \in U_{i,2}$. But there is no element with this property.

In this case, we set $M_i = d(\alpha)$.

Case 2, for all $1 \le l \le k$, we have $d(\alpha)_{(l)} = \infty$ or if $d(\alpha)_{(l)} < \infty$, then $(n'_{i,1})_{(l)} = (n'_{i,2})_{(l)}$.
Set $n''_{i,j} = n'_{i,j} \wedge d(\alpha)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $n''_i = n''_{i,1} \vee n''_{i,2}$. We remark $n''_{i,1} \ne n''_{i,2}$. By condition (A), there is $M_i \le d(\sigma^{n''_{i,1}}\alpha) \wedge d(\sigma^{n''_{i,2}}\alpha)$ such that

$$\alpha(n''_{i,1}, n''_{i,1} + M_i) \ne \alpha(n''_{i,2}, n''_{i,2} + M_i).$$

For $j = 1, 2$, take a neighborhood $U_{i,j}$ of $\alpha(n''_i + M_i)$ such that $U_{i,1} \cap U_{i,2} = \emptyset$. Then we remark that $d(\alpha) \ge n''_i + M_i$. Define a neighborhood U'_i of $\alpha(0, n''_i + M_i)$ by

$$U'_i = \bigcap_{j=1,2} \left(\mathrm{Seg}^{n''_i+M_i}_{(n''_{i,j},n''_{i,j}+M_i)}\right)^{-1}(U_{i,j}).$$

Take a compact neighborhood $U_i \subset U'_i$ of $\alpha(0, n'_i + M_i)$.

Suppose we can take $\lambda \in K_{i,1}U_i \vee K_{i,2}U_i$. Then we have $\lambda(n'_{i,1}, n'_{i,1} + n''_i + M) \in U_i$ and $\lambda(n'_{i,2}, n'_{i,2} + n''_i + M) \in U_i$. Hence we obtain

$$\mathrm{Seg}^{n''_i+M_i}_{(n''_{i,2}, n''_{i,2}+M_i)}(\lambda(n'_{i,1}, n'_{i,1} + n''_i + M_i)) = \lambda(n'_{i,1} + n''_{i,2}, n'_{i,1} + n''_{i,2} + M_i) \in U_{i,2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Seg}^{n''_i+M_i}_{(n''_{i,1}, n''_{i,1}+M_i)}(\lambda(n'_{i,2}, n'_{i,2} + n''_i + M_i)) = \lambda(n''_{i,1} + n'_{i,2}, n''_{i.1} + n'_{i,2} + M_i) \in U_{i,1}.$$

From the assumption in this case, we can easily check $n'_{i,1} + n''_{i,2} = n''_{i,1} + n'_{i,2}$. But this contradicts $U_{i,1} \cap U_{i,2} = \emptyset$.

From the two subcases, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}_0$, we have a non-empty compact neighborhood $U_i \subset \Lambda^{M_i}$ of $\alpha(0, M_i)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(u_{i,1})U_i \vee \mathrm{supp}(u_{i,2})U_i = \emptyset$. Define $M = \vee_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c} M_i$, $U = \vee_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c} U_i$. This U satisfies $\mathrm{supp}(u_{i,1})U \vee \mathrm{supp}(u_{i,2})U = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}_0^c$. Then U is a non-empty compact neighborhood of $\alpha(0, M)$. Define $Q \in C_c(U)$ so that $0 \leq Q \leq 1$, $Q(\alpha(0, M)) = 1$.

If we define $b_j = \psi_p(\eta_j)\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)$, then we obtain $b_1^* x b_2 = b_1^* x_0 b_2$ from $(\sharp) = 0$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_0\| &< |g_p(v_0)| + \epsilon = |Q(\alpha(0, M))g_p(v_0)Q(\alpha(0, M))| + \epsilon \\
&\leq \|Q(g_p \circ r_M)Q\|_{C_0(\Lambda^M)} + \epsilon \\
&= \|\pi_M(Q(g_p \circ r_M)Q)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_M)} + \epsilon \quad (\because \pi_M \text{ is injective}) \\
&= \|\psi^{(M)}(\pi_M(Q)\phi_M(g_p)\pi_M(Q))\| + \epsilon \quad (\because \psi^{(M)} \text{ is injective since } \psi \text{ is injective}) \\
&= \|\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)\psi_0(g_p)\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)\| + \epsilon = \|\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)\psi_p(\eta_1)^* x_0 \psi_p(\eta_2)\varphi^{\psi}_M(Q)\| + \epsilon \\
&= \|b_1^* x b_2\| + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we complete the proof. □

The following statement is the main theorem which is called Cuntz-Krieger type uniqueness theorem.

Theorem 5.16. *Let Λ be a compactly aligned topological k-graph and X be the product system arising from Λ. Suppose Λ satisfies aperiodic condition and ψ is an injective CNP-covariant representation. Then $\Pi\psi : \mathcal{NO}_X \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)$ is isometry.*

Proof. Take $x, x_0 \in C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ as in Proposition 5.15. Since $\|x_0\| \leq \|x\|$ by Proposition 5.15 and $C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ is dense in $C^*(\psi)$, we can define $E_\psi : C^*(\psi) \longrightarrow C^*(\psi)^{\mathrm{core}}$ such that $\Pi\psi \circ E = E_\psi \circ \Pi\psi$ on $\mathcal{NO}_X$. Suppose a positive element $x \in \mathcal{NO}_X$ satisfies $\Pi\psi(x) = 0$. Then $\Pi\psi(E(x)) = E_\psi(\Pi\psi(x)) = 0$ and by Corollary 4.11, we get $E(x) = 0$. Hence $x = 0$ and this implies $\Pi\psi$ is injective. □

Example 5.17. Consider Λ_{BC} defined in Example 5.13 and the associated product system X. Since Λ_{BC} satisfies aperiodic condition, Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem holds for $\mathcal{NO}_X$. This gives another proof of [11, Theorem 3.7].

References

[1] N. Bourbaki, Elements of mathematics. Theory of sets, Addison-Wesley, 1968.

[2] T. M. Carlsen, N. S. Larsen, A. Sims, S. T. Vittadello, *Co-universal algebras associated to product systems, and gauge-invariant uniqueness theorems*, preprint (arXiv:0906.4825v2 [math.OA]).

[3] N. J. Fowler, *Discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), 335-375.

[4] N. J. Fowler, P.S. Muhly, I. Raeburn, *Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (3) (2003), 569-605.

[5] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari, Y. Watatani, *Ideal structure and simplicity of the C^*-algebras generated by Hilbert bimodules*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), 295-322.

[6] T. Katsura, *A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism C^*-algebras. I. Fundamental results*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** no.11 (2004), 4287-4322.

[7] T. Katsura, *On C^*-algebras associated with C^*-correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217**, no.2 (2004), 366-401.

[8] T. Katsura, *Ideal structure of C^*-algebras associated with C^*-correspondences*, Pacific J. Math. **230**, no.1 (2007), 107–146.

[9] A. Kumjian, D.Pask, *Higher rank graph C^*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.

[10] M. Laca, *Semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms, Dirichlet series, and phase transitions*, J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), no.2, 330–378.

[11] M. Laca, I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **59** (1999), 330–344.

[12] J. Renault *A Groupoid Approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 793 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[13] M. V. Pimsner, *A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}$* , in *Free Probability Theory*, Fields Institute Communications **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI 1997, pp.189-212.

[14] I. Raeburn, A. Sims *Product systems of graphs and the Toeplitz algebras of higher-rank graphs*, J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), no.2, 399-429.

[15] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, T. Yeend *Higher-rank graphs and their C^*-algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **46** (2003), 99-115.

[16] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, T. Yeend *The C^*-algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, J. Funct. Anal. **213** (2004), 206-240.

[17] A, Sims, *Gauge-invariant ideals in the C^*-algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, Canad. J. Math **58** (2006), 1268-1290.

[18] A. Sims and T. Yeend *C^*-algebras associated to product systems of Hilbert bimodules*, J. Operator Theory, to appear (arXiv:0712.3073v3 [math.OA])

[19] S. Yamashita *Cuntz's $ax + b$-semigroup C^*-algebras over $\mathbb{N}$ and product system C^*-algebras*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **24** (2009), no. 3, 299–322. (arXiv:0906.1994v2 [math.OA])

[20] T. Yeend *Topological higher-rank graphs and the C^*-algebras of topological 1-graphs*, in Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications, 231-244, *Contemp. Math.* **414**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[21] T. Yeend *Groupoid models for the C^*-algebras of topological higher-rank graphs* , J. Operator Theory **57** (2007), no.1, 95-120.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, JAPAN
E-mail address: `s-yamashita@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`